



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,007	06/18/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0849	3397
53184	7590 11/07/2005		EXAM	INER
i2 TECHNOLOGIES US, INC. ONE i2 PLACE, 11701 LUNA ROAD			RUDY, ANDREW J	
DALLAS, TX 75234			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3627	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/884,007	TENORIO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statents - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and y reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply unication.  of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 19 August 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition f	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11,34 and 35 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11,34 and 35 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict.	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing(s) by the Examiner. Note the attached C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	documents have been received. documents have been received in App of the priority documents have been re hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	elication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or FP Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-11, 34 and 35 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant is directed towards the May 19, 2005 Non-final Office Action, paragraph 3, regarding the body of the rejection. Applicant's August 19, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. In short, the Examiner does not know what seller database is being referenced to. Applicant's REMARKS do not clarify the matter. Neither the claims alone or in combination with the descriptive portion of the specification along with the drawings provide a clear reading of the claim language.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-11, 34 and 35, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al., US 6,338,067.

Applicant is directed towards the May 19, 2005 Non-final Office Action, paragraph 5, regarding the body of the rejection. Applicant's August 19, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007

Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

The discrepancy noted by the Examiner in the previous Office Action is similar in scope and content to a potential error claimed by Applicant. It is noted that only one rule need be present to fully meet Applicant's claim language. This rule may be no more than recognizing the characters of the product data that is analyzed by Baker. As is, the analysis executed by Baker meets in broad scope and content this terminology for one of ordinary skill in the art. To have interpreted it as such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. This analogy is similar for the claim 34 "means for" terminology. Regarding claim 35 "a search interface operable to communicate speech query for product data to a seller database" may be no more that the web page interfacing over the Internet. It is noted intended use claim language, e.g. "if two" or "operable to" is given less patentable weight than positively recited claim language, i.e. an electronic system. The degree of what weight it is given is indeterminable. As is, it appears Baker meets in broad scope and content this intended use claim language. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate such with Baker.

### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Archen Joseph Roby